

124 West Allegan Street, Suite 1000
Lansing, Michigan 48933
T (517) 482-5800 F (517) 482-0887
www.fraserlawfirm.com

Douglas J. Austin	Thaddeus E. Morgan	Jared A. Roberts	Retired
Michael E. Cavanaugh	Brian P. Morley	David J. Houston	Donald A. Hines
Darrell A. Lindman	Jennifer Utter Heston	Melisa M. W. Mysliwicz	John J. Loose
Gary C. Rogers	Marlaine C. Teahan	Shaina R. Reed	
Michael H. Perry	Mark E. Kellogg		Archie C. Fraser
Thomas J. Waters	Ryan K. Kauffman		(1902-1998)
Michael S. Ashton	Paula J. Manderfield	OF COUNSEL	Everett R. Trebilcock
H. Kirby Albright	Paul V. McCord	David E. S. Marvin	(1918-2002)
Graham K. Crabtree	Brian T. Gallagher	Stephen L. Burlingame	James R. Davis
Michael P. Donnelly	Jonathan T. Walton, Jr.	Mark A. Bush	(1918-2005)
Edward J. Castellani	Laura S. Faussié	Brandon W. Zuk	Ronald R. Pentecost
Jonathan E. Raven	Norbert T. Madison, Jr.	David S. Fry	(1932-2008)
Peter D. Houk	Aaron L. Davis	Thomas L. Sparks	Mark R. Fox
Elizabeth H. Latchana	Paul C. Mallon, Jr.	Max R. Hoffman	(1953-2011)
			Peter L. Dunlap, P.C.

jheston@fraserlawfirm.com
(517) 377-0802

July 2, 2019

Ms. Barbara S. Kunkel
Acting Executive Secretary
Michigan Public Service Commission
7109 W. Saginaw Hwy.
Lansing, MI 48917

RE: MPSC Docket No. U-20479

Dear Ms. Kunkel:

Enclosed herewith for filing in the above-referenced matter, please find the *Entry of Appearance in an Administrative Hearing, Petition of Retail Energy Supply Association for Leave to Intervene* and Certificate of Service.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact my office. Thank you.

Very truly yours,

Fraser Trebilcock Davis & Dunlap, P.C.



Jennifer Utter Heston

JUH/ab
Enclosures
cc: All counsel of record

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ENTRY OF APPEARANCE IN AN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

This form is issued as provided for by 1939 PA 3, as amended, and by 1933 PA 254, as amended. The filing of this form, or an acceptable alternative, is necessary to ensure subsequent service of any hearing notices, Commission orders, and related hearing documents.

General Instructions:

Type or print legibly in ink. For assistance or clarification, please contact the Public Service Commission at (517) 284-8090.

*Please Note: The Commission will provide **electronic** service of documents to all parties in this proceeding.*

THIS APPEARANCE TO BE ENTERED IN ASSOCIATION WITH THE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING:

Case / Company Name: _____ Docket No. _____

Please enter my appearance in the above-entitled matter on behalf of:

1. (Name)
2. (Name)
3. (Name)
4. (Name)
5. (Name)
6. (Name)
7. (Name)

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____

Zip _____ Phone (____) _____

Email _____

Date _____

I am not an attorney

I am an attorney whose:

Michigan Bar # is P- _____

_____ Bar # is: _____
(state)

Signature: _____

Save Form

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter of the application of)
SEMCO ENERGY GAS COMPANY for)
authority to increase its rates for the)
distribution of natural gas and for other)
relief)
_____)

Case No. U-20479

PETITION OF
RETAIL ENERGY SUPPLY ASSOCIATION
FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE

NOW COMES the Retail Energy Supply Association (“RESA”) ¹, by and through its attorneys, Fraser, Trebilcock, Davis & Dunlap, P.C., and hereby submits its petition seeking leave to intervene in the above-entitled action pursuant to Rule 410(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, R 792.10410(1). In support of this petition, RESA states as follows:

1. RESA is a broad and diverse group of retail energy suppliers who share the common vision that competitive retail energy markets deliver a more efficient customer-oriented outcome than a regulated utility structure.

2. RESA members are licensed to sell natural gas to retail customers in Michigan: Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. (“IGS”), Just Energy Michigan Corp. (“Just Energy”), Direct Energy

¹ The comments expressed in this filing represent the position of the Retail Energy Supply Association (RESA) as an organization but may not represent the views of any particular member of the Association. Founded in 1990, RESA is a broad and diverse group of retail energy suppliers dedicated to promoting efficient, sustainable and customer-oriented competitive retail energy markets. RESA members operate throughout the United States delivering value-added electricity and natural gas service at retail to residential, commercial and industrial energy customers. More information on RESA can be found at www.resausa.org.



Services, LLC (“Direct Energy”), and Constellation NewEnergy-Gas Division, LLC (“Constellation”), are licensed Alternative Gas Suppliers (“AGS”). RESA members currently serve Gas Customer Choice (“GCC”) and end use transportation (“EUT”) customers on the SEMCO Energy Gas Company (“SEMCO”) system.

3. This docket involves an application by SEMCO for authority to increase its rates for the distribution of natural gas and for other relief. In its application, SEMCO seeks to increase rates by \$38.1 million based on a test year ending December 31, 2020.

4. Additionally, SEMCO proposes numerous changes to its tariff. As part of its EUT tariff amendments, SEMCO seeks approval of a new daily cash-out process, along with changes to its gas transportation management and billing system. SEMCO also proposes to change its daily balancing methodology, among many other items detailed in SEMCO witness Walter E. Fitzgerald’s pre-filed direct testimony. Witness Fitzgerald also testifies to many changes to SEMCO’s GCC program.

5. RESA members have direct and vital interests in the issues raised in this docket. RESA members are both SEMCO customers and GCC and EUT suppliers participating in the SEMCO transportation market. RESA members have direct interest in the rates, terms and conditions proposed by SEMCO. RESA members clearly have a direct financial interest in the GCC and EUT program rates, terms and conditions of service.

6. There are several legal bases for standing to intervene in a proceeding before the MPSC. The first is standing as of right. This Commission has repeatedly applied the two-prong test for standing as of right set forth in *Association of Data Processing Service Organizations, Inc. v Camp*, 397 US 150; 90 S. Ct. 827; 250 L.Ed. 184 (1970), which has been

applied to utility matters in *Drake v The Detroit Edison Co*, 453 F Supp 1123 (WD Mich, 1978). As set forth in *Association of Data Processing*, the two-prong test consists of a showing that: (1) the petitioner would likely suffer injury in fact (i.e., its interests are endangered or at issue); and (2) the petitioner's interests that are allegedly endangered are within the zone of interests to be protected or regulated by the statute under consideration. See, e.g., *In re Michigan Consolidated Gas Co*, MPSC Case No. U-10150 (December 8, 1992).

7. RESA satisfies the "injury in fact" test, because the proposed changes to SEMCO's EUT program will impose costs on RESA members. RESA members will incur a direct financial impact as a result of changes in transportation charge adjustments.

8. The second prong of the two-pronged test for standing as a matter of right is a showing that the prospective intervenor's interest falls within the "zone of interests" to be protected or regulated in the context of the case. The rates, terms, and conditions of SEMCO's GCC and EUT programs are of interest to RESA and have been placed in issue by SEMCO in this case. The rates, terms, and conditions of SEMCO's GCC and EUT programs fall within the zone of interests to be regulated by the MPSC in this case, and RESA's interest in fair and reasonable rates, terms, and conditions fall within the zone of interests to be protected in this case.

9. Having demonstrated that its interests satisfy the two-pronged test for standing as a matter of right, RESA respectfully submits that it is entitled to intervene in this case as a matter of right.

10. Even if it were determined that RESA does not have standing as a matter of right, it would be entitled to intervene under the MPSC's discretionary intervention standards.

“[T]he Commission’s discretion to grant leave to intervene is broader than the two-prong test. As recognized in prior Commission orders, the requirements for standing before the Commission are not as strict as those applied by the courts. Unlike a court of law, an administrative agency can allow intervention whenever the resulting delay will likely be outweighed by the benefit of the intervenor’s participation.” *In re Michigan Consolidated Gas Co*, MPSC Case No. U-10150, p 5 (December 8, 1992) (finding that discretionary intervention was appropriate, and “a detailed discussion of the two-prong test is unnecessary”).

11. Indeed, the two-pronged test does not apply when granting permissive intervention. “The granting of permissive intervention without satisfying the two-pronged test is a long-established Commission practice.” *In re DTE Gas Co*, MPSC Case No. U-17332, p 4 (May 13, 2014).

12. Discretionary intervention is appropriate where public policy warrants a party’s involvement because a prospective intervenor can provide useful information to the Commission or a unique perspective on the issues to be resolved. See, e.g., *In re Mascotech Forming Technologies, Inc.*, MPSC Case No. U-11057 (June 5, 1996); *In re MCI Metro Access Transmission, Inc.*, MPSC Case No. U-10610 (November 30, 1994); and *In the matter, on the Commission’s own motion, to investigate the appropriateness of instituting a surcharge to assist in the funding of the Gas Technology Institute*, MPSC Case No. U-14561 (October 18, 2005). The Commission has held that a proper case for permissive intervention exists when a proposed intervenor “could be expected to bring helpful information to the Commission’s attention that might not otherwise be available.” *In re International Transmission Co*, MPSC Case No. U-16200, p 4 (October 14, 2010).

13. “Permissive intervention has also been granted where a proceeding ‘raises novel questions and important policy issues’ and the intervenor will ‘bring a unique perspective’ to the case.” *In re DTE Electric Co*, MPSC Case No. U-17319, p 10 (March 6, 2014), quoting, MPSC Case No. U-11057, pp 2-3 (June 5, 1996).

14. RESA is a large and diverse group of retail energy suppliers operating in competitive retail energy markets across 16 states. RESA has a proven track record of successful retail competition development activities and promoting vibrant and sustainable retail energy markets for residential, commercial and industrial customers. RESA’s breadth of diverse retail energy market experiences makes it particularly well-suited to bring new and helpful information to the MPSC that might not otherwise be available.

15. RESA members are active participants in SEMCO’s GCC and EUT programs, specifically. RESA members have valuable insight into the operation of the GCC and EUT programs and can offer important insights into the implications of various recommendations concerning SEMCO’s programs going forward. RESA members have direct knowledge of the impact of the proposed tariff changes on AGS entities operating on SEMCO’s system and on the customers they serve.

16. Thus, RESA has significant interests in this case, offers a unique perspective, and is capable of providing information useful to the Commission. RESA has demonstrated that it warrants intervention in this case both as of right and on a permissive basis.

17. Notice should be taken of the fact that this same RESA has previously participated as an intervenor in cases involving SEMCO’s natural gas rates and tariffs, including SEMCO’s general rate case in MPSC Case Nos. U-16169.

18. Further, RESA was granted intervention as a party in numerous other proceedings involving GCC and EUT issues, including SEMCO's transportation balancing tariff case, MPSC Case No. U-15953.

19. It is the position of RESA that the EUT and GCC program proposals should be carefully examined and revised as necessary to assure that the rates, terms, and conditions are just and reasonable, as required by law.

20. RESA reserves the right to take different positions if, and when, this case proceeds to full hearings and following a full review of the testimony filed in this case and responses to discovery, as may be relevant and appropriate. RESA further reserves the right to take other positions and/or seek other relief based on any further proposals that may be submitted by other parties in this case.

21. The relief that RESA seeks in this proceeding is an order approving only those rates, terms and conditions of service that are just, reasonable and lawful. RESA reserves the right to seek other relief based on a review of the filings and/or discovery responses in this proceeding.

22. RESA's interests, as set forth above, are not adequately represented by the present parties and, therefore, it would be detrimental to the public interest to deny this Petition to Intervene.

23. Because the issues set forth above are of great significance to RESA and to the public, a denial of this Petition would result in a miscarriage of justice.

WHEREFORE, RESA hereby respectfully requests that this Honorable Commission grant it Leave to Intervene in the above-entitled proceedings as a full party of record.

Respectfully submitted,

FRASER TREBILCOCK DAVIS & DUNLAP, P.C.
ATTORNEYS FOR RETAIL ENERGY SUPPLY ASSOCIATION

Date: July 2, 2019

By: 

Jennifer Utter Heston (P65202)

Business Address:

124 W. Allegan, Ste 1000

Lansing, MI 48933

Telephone: (517) 482-5800

E-mail: jheston@fraserlawfirm.com

STATE OF MICHIGAN


BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter of the application of)
SEMCO ENERGY GAS COMPANY for)
authority to increase its rates for the)
distribution of natural gas and for other)
relief)
_____)

Case No. U-20479

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Angela R. Babbitt hereby certifies that on the 2nd day of July, 2019, she served the *Entry of Appearance in an Administrative Hearing, Petition of Retail Energy Supply Association for Leave to Intervene* and this Certificate of Service on the persons identified on the attached service list via electronic mail.



Angela R. Babbitt

Service List for U-20479

Administrative Law Judge

Honorable Sharon Feldman
Michigan Public Service Commission
7109 W. Saginaw Hwy.
Lansing, MI 48917
feldmans@michigan.gov

Counsel for SEMCO Energy Gas Company

Sherri A. Wellman
Paul M. Collins
Matthew Carstens
Miller Canfield
One Michigan Ave., Ste. 900
Lansing, MI 48933
wellmans@millercanfield.com
collinsp@millercanfield.com
carstensm@millercanfield.com

Counsel for MPSC Staff

Michael J. Orris
Daniel E. Sonneveldt
Monica M. Stephens
Michigan Public Service Commission
7109 W. Saginaw Hwy.
Lansing, MI 48917
orrism@michigan.gov
sonneveldtd@michigan.gov
stephensml1@michigan.gov

Counsel for Attorney General

Michael E. Moody
525 W. Ottawa St., Williams Bldg. 6th Fl.
Lansing, MI 48909
moodym2@michigan.gov
AG-ENRA-Spec-Lit@michigan.gov

Counsel for Citizens Utility Board of Michigan

John R. Liskey
John R. Liskey Attorney at Law PLLC
921 N. Washington Ave.
Lansing, MI 48906
john@liskeypllc.com

